Morphology and Morphometric Study of Superior Orbital Fissure in Dried Human Skulls

INTRODUCTION
The SOF is a major gateway into the orbit from the cranial cavity. It is a gap between lesser and greater wings of the sphenoid bone [1]. It is a complex region in the middle cranial fossa, functionally very important in respect of the structures which it transmits III, IV, VI cranial nerves and vessels. Knowledge of detailed microanatomy of superior orbital fissure is very important in making better clinical diagnosis and minimising the complications [2]. Pathological conditions of cavernous sinus like traumatic carotid cavernous sinus fistula can cause SOF syndrome due to the involvement of nerves in relation to cavernous sinus and orbit. Inflammation and infections of the Central Nervous System (CNS), meninges, cavernous sinus, retro-bulbar space caused by syphilis, tuberculosis also cause SOF syndrome [3]. Compression of SOF contents in unnatural narrowing of the SOF is seen in aneurysms of internal carotid artery [4]. In view of all the possible clinical conditions of SOF structures, microanatomy of SOF guides, ophthalmologists and neurosurgeons during surgical interventions and help in the development of new strategies in studying the microanatomy [2,5].

MATERIALS AND METHODS
The present cross-sectional study was conducted over a period of one year from July 2019 to June 2020 at Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad, on 51 dried human skulls which were thoroughly examined and their shape was noted and photographed. The measurements were taken using Vernier calliper and statistically analysed using Microsoft Excel with frequency (n) and percentage (%) analysis.

RESULTS
Present study found the most common shape of SOF was Type VI on both right and left sides. The second common type was type...
Table/Fig-2: Different shapes of Right superior orbital fissure (SOF) [6].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of shape</th>
<th>Right N (%)</th>
<th>Left N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>8 (15%)</td>
<td>9 (17%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type V</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type VI</td>
<td>17 (33%)</td>
<td>23 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type VII</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
<td>4 (8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type VIII</td>
<td>8 (16%)</td>
<td>6 (12%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IX</td>
<td>3 (6%)</td>
<td>2 (4%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table/Fig-3: Shapes of Superior Orbital Fissure (SOF).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements of SOF</th>
<th>Right side</th>
<th>Left side</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean superomedial edge height</td>
<td>10.07±0.85 mm</td>
<td>9.72±0.71 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean supero-lateral edge height</td>
<td>3.76±0.75 mm</td>
<td>3.65±0.87 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean distance between the superomedial and superolateral angles</td>
<td>19.52±0.65 mm</td>
<td>19.01±0.65 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table/Fig-4: Measurements of SOF.

Table/Fig-5: Types of Superior Orbital Fissure (SOF).

Table/Fig-6: Types of Superior Orbital Fissure (SOF).

Table/Fig-7: Right Superior Orbital Fissure (SOF) narrowed into a foramen.

VIII in Right SOF and Type III in Left SOF [Table/Fig-3-6]. One skull showed narrowed SOF on right side [Table/Fig-7].

The mean superomedial edge height was 10.07±0.85 mm on right and 9.72±0.71 mm on left side. The mean superolateral edge height was 3.76±0.75 mm on right and 3.65±0.87 mm on left side. The mean distance between superomedial to superolateral edges was 19.52±0.65 mm on right side and 19.01±0.65 mm on left side [Table/Fig-4].

DISCUSSION

The SOF is wider medially with long axis sloping infero-medially and forwards [1]. Apex of the orbit is at the medial and lower end of the SOF where it is widest, extends obliquely in lateral direction and forwards between the roof and lateral wall of the
orbit [7]. It is observed in different shapes varying from an oblique cleft, roughly triangular to club shaped or slit like opening in the middle cranial fossa [2,6]. Different shapes of SOF as described by Sharma PK et al., are shown [Table/Fig-6,7] [8]. Position of soft tissue structures in SOF depends on its morphological type, whether clear narrowing of SOF is present or lack of such narrowing [8]. Based on its shape, nine types of SOF were observed, which also gives the diversity of positioning of soft tissue structures within these nine types. These variant types of SOF help to understand the underlying cause for the clinical conditions and guide in surgical interventions in variant cases. Any change in the shape of SOF due to local causes leads to compression of structures within it and in turn results in neurological deficits and vascular involvement and the majority noticed that SOF was Type VI among nine different shapes according to PK Sharma classification [2].

Reymond J et al., distinguished nine morphological types of the SOF. Among these were two main categories: type “a” characterised by a clear narrowing within the fissure and type “b” which lacked such narrowing. The type “a” and “b” fissures were also different in length whereby type “b” fissure was significantly shorter [9]. Present study followed the classification described by Sharma PK et al., [6]. One skull showed a narrowed SOF on the right side [Table/Fig-7] which corroborates to the type b SOF as described by Reymond J et al., Govsa F et al., found that, on right side, distance was 17.3±3.4 mm from superomedial to the superolateral edge and on left side, it was 16.9±2.9 mm. Measurements were 20.8±3.9 mm, the superolateral to the inferior edge on right side and left side it was 20.1±3.8 mm. It was 9.5±2.2 mm on the right and 9±2.4 mm on the left side when the distance measured from the superomedial to the inferior edge of fissure [2,9]. The results of the present study does not correlate with those of Govsa F et al., because the latter was conducted on cadavers in relation to soft tissue structures around the SOF but the present study was conducted on dried human skulls.

Desai SD and Sreepadma S found that the SOF measurements were 0.9 cm vertically and 0.6 cm transversely on right side, and on the left side it was 0.9 cm vertically and 1.4 cm transversely with the fissure showing normal shape [10]. The study by Shukla A et al., on SOF reported that the mean length of right SOF was 1.39±0.21cm while that of 1.4±0.24 cm on left side [11].

According to the results by Dande K et al., the occurrence of triangular fissure in right side SOF was 19.35% (24), classical fissure 18.54% (23), oval 7.25% (9) and irregular 4.83% (6) and on the left SOF, occurrence of triangular fissure was 10.48% (13), classical fissure 27.41% (34), oval 7.25% (9) and irregular 4.83% (8). The mean length of the fissure SOF was 1.683 cm on right and 1.661 cm on left side. On right side the mean width of the fissure of SOF was 0.824 cm and on left side it SOF was 0.906 cm [12]. The study by Park Y and Kim Y reported the following mean SOF width was 3.79±0.93 mm, and the values for the left SOF were 3.79±0.96 mm and 3.783±0.92 mm for the right SOF, the widths of the SOFs were 3.62±1.35 mm on the right side, 3.69±1.18 mm on the right side, and 3.65±1.26 mm across both sides. In the females [13], present study was based on dried human skulls so the results are dissimilar because Park Y and Kim Y focused on measurement of SOF widths on CT scans at optic canal level [13].

Rasheed A et al., found that the right supraorbital foramen of all skulls showed mean transverse diameter 2.420+.451 mm while, left supraorbital foramen showed 2.139+.391 mm. Right side supraorbital foramen of all skulls showed mean vertical diameter 3.649+.687 mm while left sided foramen showed 3.489+.651 mm. Mean transverse diameter of right infraorbital foramen, was 2.858+.633 mm and left was 3.112+.823 mm. Mean vertical diameter was 4.199+.732 mm on right side and it was 4.229+.887 mm on left side [14]. Present study does not correlate with the study by Kimura K, possible reason of which may be the racial variation [15]. The position and location of SOF significantly differ in various races and between gender [16]. SOF measurements may be different between geographic zones and inhabitants of respective environments [17].

Measurements of SOF is clinically significant as narrowing of SOF less than 1.6 mm is a risk factor for SOF syndrome. Congenital narrowing is also a cause of SOF syndrome [3]. The present study showed one skull with narrow SOF on right side [Table/Fig-7]. In cranio-maxillo-facial trauma, structures which pass through SOF may get involved and damaged, which can give rise to conditions like Rochen-Duvigneaud syndrome [10]. The features are opthalmoplegia, ptosis, proptosis, dilated and fixed pupils and loss of sensation of upper eyelid and forehead [3].

Limitation(s)
The study was conducted on dried human skulls, so the values show variations when compared to the studies done on live patients using computerised tomography scans.

CONCLUSION(S)
Nine different shapes of superior orbital fissure were found in present study and type VI was the more frequently observed shape on both sides. Present study found the most common shape of SOF was Type VI on both right and left sides. The second common type was type VIII in Right SOF and Type III in Left SOF. One skull showed narrowed SOF on right side. The mean width of the SOF in dried human skulls showed no difference between right and the left SOF. However, more future Computed Tomography scans based studies are recommended to further correlate the findings of this cadaveric study.
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