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ABSTRACT
Over the past few years, various surgical procedures have evolved that focus on releasing the taut superior labial frenum in infants, yet little is known about their normal or variant morphology despite the growing incidence of severe upper labial frenar tie. Apart from this, the role played by distorted upper labial frenum in uncomfortable latching or problems in breast feeding has been a major concern among mothers thereby significantly affecting public health. Hence, the purpose of this literature review is to lucidly highlight the various existing grading schemes and classification systems in literature for superior labial frenum and also to understand their precise morphology and developmental origins that will be of great help to oral surgeons and plastic surgeons in performing release repair or reconstructions for the upper lip tie.

INTRODUCTION
Most of the surgical procedures involving the oral frena, focused on the release or repair of the lingual frenum rather than its labial counterpart due to the impetus given to the tongue-tie or ankyloglossia that causes difficulties in infant feeding and altered speech production as opposed to the lip-tie that was considered to play a weak role in problems related infant feeding for a long period of time [1]. It was only later that a few studies began focusing on their role in causing latching problems, feeding difficulties and also for their involvement in diastema formation and gingival recession [2,3]. Still, labial release or repair procedures are in their naïve stages of growth owing to the fact that the functional anatomy and morphology of labial frenum has always been less understood due to the paucity of randomised controlled trials and larger cohort studies focusing on their mechanisms of involvement in distorted states [3-5].

It was later found that the taut upper labial frenum has a more definitive role in causing diastema between the upper incisors, upper gingival recession, latching and feeding difficulties in neonates and infants when compared to the lower labial frenum which apart from causing gingival recession had hardly any role in causing feeding difficulties to mothers or latching difficulties to infants [4-7]. Hence, this literature review focuses on the architecture and patterns of the superior labial frenum alone, and not the lower labial one. Classification schemes were proposed for the upper labial frenum but each with a different version that lacked clarity especially with regard to their grading pattern in upper lip tie [1,2]. Their morphometric architecture and histological composition also lack clarity, as their development.

MORPHOLOGY AND INTERNAL STRUCTURE OF THE UPPER LABIAL FRENUM
According to Henry SW et al., the superior labial frenum is a fold of soft connective tissue that attaches the upper lip to the anterior surface of the maxillary gingiva and it originates from the midline of the undersurface of the lip [8]. However, Edwards JG had a contrary opinion to that of Henry SW et al., and postulated that it is exclusively made of alveolar epithelial tissue that arises embryologically as a posteroventral remnant of ecto-labial bands [9]. There is a discrepancy in histological findings of maxillary frenum among various workers [Table/Fig-1]. As per reports of Henry SW et al., done on biopsied and autopsied frenum, the internal structure of the upper labial frenum was made of loose connective tissue and elastic fibers and no muscle fibers were spotted [8]. However, Gartner LP and Schein D, had a contrary view to that of Henry SW et al., and had found in their study that the upper labial frenum was composed only of alveolar epithelial tissue and striated muscle fibres and did not contain any elastic fibers [10]. Moore KL, denote the upper maxillary frenum only as a fibrous collagenous tissue [11], whereas Gartner LP and Schein D, and Ross RO et al., have described the upper labial frenum to consist primarily of dense connective tissue and alveolar epithelium with absence of striated muscle fibres [10,12]. The findings given by the above authors are only derived from observational studies. Definite conclusions regarding the morphology and internal structure of upper labial frenum can only be drawn from large randomised controlled trials, that are lacking. This is of vital importance to guide the surgeons in microsurgical resections of the upper labial frenum.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Loose connective tissue</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Dense connective tissue</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Striated muscle fibers</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Alveolar mucosal epithelium</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Elastic fibers</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table/Fig-1]: Histologic features of upper labial frenum.

ATTACHMENTS, CLASSIFICATION AND GRADING OF UPPER LABIAL FRENUM
The maxillary frenum or upper labial frenum connect the undersurface of the upper lip to the midline upper gingival [2]. But this statement is quite vague because the insertion sites for the upper labial frenum are diverse. Most of the frenum from diverse studies [2,4,5,13-16], were seen to insert on to the palatal mucosa of the upper alveolar ridge [Table/Fig-2,3], followed by the ones that inserted on to the inferior margin at the alveolar papilla and even passing beyond to the posterior surface. The remainder were scattered at various other insertion points as depicted in [Table/Fig-2]. This shows that upper labial frenum insertions cannot be typed or patterned into specific set insertion points, rather a wide
range of insertion points need to be considered by the surgeons while removing or repairing them [13-16]. Mirko P et al., had proposed a basic classification of maxillary frena depending upon the extension of attachment of its fibers to the oral vestibular components as follows: 1) Mucosal-when the frenal fibers are attached up to mucogingival junction; 2) Gingival-when fibres are inserted within attached gingiva; 3) Papillary-when fibres are extending into inter-dental papilla; and 4) Papillary penetrating- when the frenal fibers cross the alveolar process and extend up to palate papilla [17]. This classification still holds good and is used as a basic scheme for all other higher grading systems, however it does not take into account the other insertion points as shown in [Table/Fig-2] [2,4,5,13-16].

One particular study by Ray S et al., contradicts the above mentioned insertion points by various workers [3]. This is the only such study, which does not consider the inner median surface of upper lip as a fixed attachment point, but instead takes into account two mobile measurable points of attachment per frenum along with their collaborative dimensional measurements [3]. According to Ray S et al., the drawbacks with the above mentioned systems, is that the insertion points of the frena into the gingiva were described with non specific anatomic locations and without measurements of frenum insertions relative to gingival and alveolar edge landmarks. Furthermore, they argue that neither of the above studies except there own study, assessed the frenum after lip-tie release clearly demonstrated. Another important fallacy in this system is that Kotlow LA, tried to standardise this grade for the entire age groups of individuals just by considering the appearance of neonatal upper labial frena [1].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Number of subjects</th>
<th>Age range of subjects (years)</th>
<th>Insertion point from the upper lip</th>
<th>Frequency (%) of insertion point from the upper lip among subjects</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flinck A et al., [13]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>1-18</td>
<td>Crest of upper alveolar ridge</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Maria C et al., [2]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>Buccal mucosa of upper alveolar</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tatakis DN, [4]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>1542</td>
<td>15-17</td>
<td>Palatal mucosa of upper alveolar</td>
<td>46.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jariczuk Z and Banach J, [15]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td>Near the mucogingival junction</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Placek M et al., [16]</td>
<td>Cross-sectional</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>Along the inferior margin at the</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwanaga J et al., [5]</td>
<td>Observational study on fresh frozen cadaveric heads</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>alveolar papilla and beyond to the</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>posterior surface</td>
<td>48.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Alveolar mucosa</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sulcus of free gingiva</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Free gingiva</td>
<td>34.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[Table/Fig-2]: Vivid attachments of upper labial frena from the undersurface of median upper lip [2,4,5,13-16].

Kakodkar PV et al., had also reported certain extra variants of maxillary frena, in addition to that of Mirko P et al. They are-simple frenum with a nodule, simple frenum with appendix, simple frenum with nicheru, bifid labial frenum, persistent tecto-labial frenum, double frenum and wider frenum [17,18].

The next modified classification was the stanford classification system proposed by Santa Maria C et al., where they combined grades 2 and 3 from Kotlow’s scale. It is as follows: Type 1: insertion of the frenulum is near the mucogingival junction. Type 2: insertion is along the mid attached gingiva. Type 3: insertion is along inferior margin at the alveolar papilla, and can continue to the posterior surface [2]. As per Santa Maria C et al., type 1 of their classification is equivalent to grade 1 of Kotlow LA; type 2 combines grades 2 and 3 of Kotlow LA; and type 3 is equivalent to Kotlow LA grade 4 [2].

This rating system provided a more clinically meaningful distinction between different types of superior labial frena, however this system also failed to use fixed anatomical landmarks. The advantages of this rating system over that of Kotlow LA is the ease of clinical assessment in a “lip-tie” [2].

One particular study by Ray S et al., contradicts the above mentioned insertion points by various workers [3]. This is the only such study, which does not consider the inner median surface of upper lip as a fixed attachment point, but instead takes into account two mobile measurable points of attachment per frenum along with their collaborative dimensional measurements [3]. According to Ray S et al., the drawbacks with the above mentioned systems, is that the insertion points of the frena into the gingiva were described with non specific anatomic locations and without measurements of frenum insertions relative to gingival and alveolar edge landmarks. Furthermore, they argue that neither of the above studies except there own study, assessed the frenum length or thickness either separately or together, which may play a contributive role to frenum tethering and those studies also did not assess the potential association of the maxillary labial frenum with ankyloglossia [3]. The justification given by Ray S et al., in their prospective cohort study for utilising two mobile points for measuring the maxillary frenum caliber is that ‘tethering’ takes place...
in a taut maxillary frenum of a tied lip that affects the architecture of the lip and the frenum simultaneously, hence the measurement of only one insertion point neglecting the lip contour measurements will produce erroneous results [3]. They have also refuted the classification patterns of Kotlow LA and Santa Maria C et al., which according to them are biased and based on the assumption that all upper labial frenae fixedly arise from the undersurface of the inner central upper lip [3].

**CLINICAL INDICATORS OF PATHOGENIC UPPER LABIAL FRENA**

As per Anubha N et al., the best way to detect an abnormal or aberrant frenum for its pathological nature is by applying tension over it to check for the movement of its papillary tip or blanching produced due to compromised blood flow to that region [19]. The frenum that penetrates the papillae are considered to produce more discomfort and are found to be associated with slow regression of papillae, recession, diastema, difficulty in brushing, teeth disarray and it may also impede the fitting of dentures [19]. A frenum is thought to be pathological if lip movements are disturbed and if its taut nature pulls the gingival margin away from the tooth, or if the tissue prevents a diastema closure during surgical interventions [20]. Lindsey D et al., had observed in their study that the response of frenum to blanching and lip pull decreased from childhood to adult life and hence cannot be used as reliable indicators for testing the pathogenic nature of papillary frenum [21], which contradicted the findings of Anubha N et al., [19]. However, the above findings are derived only from cross-sectional studies. For standardising the clinical classifications to describe the pathological role of maxillary frena, larger randomised controlled trials are necessary that are yet lacking.

**DEVELOPMENT OF UPPER LABIAL FRENUM AND ITS AGE CHANGES**

The intrauterine development of the upper labial frenum, starts at the tenth week of gestation, by the formation of tecto-labial plates resembling bands that extend from the inner lip and span across the alveolar ridge for insertion into the palate papilla [11,22,23]. This process fastens in the third, fourth and fifth months of intrauterine life and steadies down by the ninth month. By the end of the ninth month, the well formed halves of the alveolar ridges unite, thereby enclosing some portions of these tecto-labial bands into them resulting in the formation of the palatine papilla and the upper labial frenum [21-23]. After birth, the alveolar process formed by the union of alveolar ridges slowly starts moving in an apical direction from a coronal plane [11,23]. This apical migration theory is also consistent with the results obtained by Popovich F et al., who in their study had shown that in children aged 9 to 16 years, the upper labial frenum slightly moved to an apical position from coronal position, while movement in the opposite direction was never detected [24]. The results of this longitudinal assessment were also consistent with the results of the cross-sectional study by Santa Maria C et al., where the children with the most coronal attachment were mostly young [2,24].

As per the reports of Santa Maria C et al., all newborn babies have some degree of superior labial frenal attachment, the majority of which extend approximately half way down the upper gingiva and anterior papilla and which regress as the age advances [2]. Their findings were supported by Delli K et al., who showed evidence from their research that during childhood, this frenum changes in appearance, becoming less prominent with increasing age [25]. But they were unclear as to whether the appearance of the frenum in the newborn population has any correlation with its appearance in childhood and in later life. The developing form of this frenum over time during childhood reduces the legitimacy of performing preventive procedures on it [25].

**CONCLUSION(S)**

Various studies done on upper labial frenal attachments have focused only on its insertion points without taking into account the contour of the undersurface of the entire lip as a whole from which a frenum is thought to originate due to the paucity of randomised controlled trials. Its histology and development have various theories that are yet to be well substantiated in order for it to be a useful indicator of the severity of lip tie.
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[Table/Fig-3] is taken with permission for Spreading Smiles Dental Clinic Puducherry, (courtesy- Dr. Daisy L, owner- “Spreading Smiles Dental Clinic”).
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