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ABSTRACT

Introduction: Brachial plexus are the main nerve supply to the muscles of upper limb and the muscles of the flexor compartment arm are supplied by Musculocutaneous Nerve (McN). Any variations in the nerve innervation of the flexor muscles of arm should be documented as they have clinical implications.

Aim: To observe and document the variations in the innervation of muscles of the front of arm and discuss its clinical implications with the help of available literature.

Materials and Methods: This cross-sectional descriptive study was done in the Department of Anatomy, Siddhartha Medical College for a period of three years that is from October 2017 to October 2020 on 50 upper limbs, from 25 cadavers. The upper limbs were dissected to observe the innervations of muscles of front of arm. Contents of front of arm were observed. Incidence and percentage of variation in the population was tabulated.

Results: Variations in the nerve supply of muscles of front of arm were noticed in 6% of the cases. In two cadavers (4%), all the muscles of right arm except coracobrachialis were innervated by a trifurcated branch from Median Nerve (MN) and the latter was supplied by a separate branch from lateral cord. In another left upper limb two separate branches innervated from lateral cord and supplied all the muscles of arm. In the present study, observed variations were more common on right side than on the left side.

Conclusion: Knowledge of such variations are important for the surgeons during surgical exploration of axilla, nerve block in supraclavicular, infraclavicular or axillary region and also during nerve grafting. Also, the study highlights the incidence of variations in a particular region.

INTRODUCTION

Brachial plexus is formed by the union of the ventral rami of spinal segment C5 to T1, sometimes C4 and T2 may also contribute in the formation of plexus and are called prefixed and postfixed plexus respectively. The branches from brachial plexus supply the upper limb muscles, any variation in the innervation of muscles results in difficulty in diagnosing peripheral neuropathies also knowledge of these variations helps surgeons during nerve blocks and nerve grafts.

Roots arising from the spinal segments form trunks, which bifurcate to form divisions and cords, which in turn continues as peripheral nerves to supply upper limb. Ventral rami of roots C5 and C6 unite to form the upper trunk, C7 forms middle trunk and C8 along with T1, forms the lower trunk. The upper, middle and lower trunk bifurcate to form ventral and dorsal divisions. The dorsal divisions of all the trunks unite to form posterior cord, further ventral divisions of upper and the middle trunk unite to form the lateral cord whereas the ventral division of lower trunk continues as medial cord [1].

Musculocutaneous Nerve (McN) is a continuation of lateral cord, after giving a twig to shoulder joint, it supplies the muscles of the anterior compartment of the arm and continues as lateral cutaneous nerve of forearm. Fibers from lateral cord and the medial cord unite to form MN in front of axillary artery. At the insertion of coracobrachial is muscle in the arm, Median Nerve (MN) moves from lateral to medial side in front of biceps tendon and enters the forearm through the cubital fossa. MN doesn’t give any muscular branches in the arm. Variations in the innervation of muscles of the anterior compartment of arm are common. McN has frequent variation, it may pierce the Coracobrachialis Muscle (CBM) and run behind it or continue for some distance along with MN and pass behind Biceps Muscle (BBM) or some fibers of MN may pass into Mcn nerve or less frequently MN may send branches to McN nerve [2]. Absence of McN is a rare variation. The main aim of the study was to identify any variations in the distribution of nerves in the anterior compartment of arm and explain the clinical implications of these variations during surgical procedures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was a cross-sectional descriptive study, on fifty upper limbs of adults in the age group of 50-90 years, over a period of three years from October 2017 to October 2020 in the Department of Anatomy, Siddhartha Medical College, Vijayawada, Andhra Pradesh. The incisions were according to the Cunningham’s manual [3]. The skin and superficial fascia was removed followed by deep fascia. Muscles of the anterior compartment of arm were identified and cleared. The nerve supply of each muscle was observed and any variations in the innervations of muscles of front of the arm were documented and photographed.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Descriptive statistics were used, incidence and percentage of variation in the population was tabulated.

RESULTS

In 50 upper limbs considered, variations were observed in three limbs. In the right upper limb of two adult cadavers, McN was absent. The Coracobrachialis Muscle (CBM) was innervated by a small branch from the lateral cord. MN was formed by the union of lateral and medial cord. After the formation of MN, it trifurcated into three branches at the insertion of CBM. Among the three branches the lateral branch supplied the biceps brachii muscle, middle branch supplied the Brachialis Muscle (BRM) and continued as lateral cutaneous nerve of arm. Medial most branch continued as MN following its normal
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course [Table/Fig-1,2]. As all the flexor muscles of arm are supplied by MN except CBM any injury or lesion to MN will cause paralysis of all muscles except CBM. Also, infraclavicular block for any arm surgeries should be given both to median and McN nerve.

In the left arm of another adult cadaver, it was noticed that the McN nerve was absent. A small branch was seen coming from the lateral root of MN to supply the CBM, below that branch there was a small branch was seen coming from the lateral cord and supplying the Coracobrachialis Muscle (CBM). In one limb CBM was innervated by dividing into four branches. The first two supplied the heads of Biceps muscle (BBM), third one supplied to Brachialis Muscle (BRM) and fourth one continued as lateral cutaneous nerve of forearm. The MN divided into two branches before entering the cubital fossa [Table/Fig-3]. In the present case, infraclavicular block should be given to both the branches from the lateral root of the MN.

DISCUSSION

The McN (C5-C7), is a mixed peripheral nerve, arising from the lateral cord of the brachial plexus in the axilla, it runs behind CBM by piercing it [4]. It innervates the muscles of the anterior compartment of the arm and continues as the lateral cutaneous nerve of the forearm. In some cases, the McN may be absent and the muscles of the anterior compartment of the arm receive innervations directly from MN. Variation in the branching pattern of McN nerve and MN have been documented in many studies [Table/Fig-4] [4-8]. Bilateral absence of Musculocutaneous Nerve (McN) was also observed in a study by Bhanu PS and Sankar KD et al., and Raza K et al., [9,10]. They reported that muscles of front of arm were supplied by branches from MN except CBM which was supplied by a separate branch from the lateral root of MN. Similarly, in this study a small branch arising from lateral cord and supplying CEM was found. MN was trifurcating and supplying the other muscles of the front of the arm. In another case report two small branches arose from the lateral root of MN, one supplied the CBM and the other branch divided into two to supply two heads of BBM, third branch arose from the MN and supplied the BRM and continued as lateral cutaneous nerve of the forearm [11].

Similarly, in one of the left upper limbs, it was found that two branches arising from the lateral root, one small branch was supplying the CBM and the other branch supplied all the muscles of the front of the arm by dividing into four branches. The medial root and the lateral root of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors and years</th>
<th>Type of study</th>
<th>Number of limbs with variation</th>
<th>McN innervation and nerve</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>Original study with 50 limbs</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Absent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**[Table/Fig-4]:** Literature review with comparison of anomalous innervation of musculocutaneous and Median Nerve (MN) [4-8].

| McN: Musculocutaneous nerve; CBM: Coracobrachialis muscle; BBM: Biceps muscle; BRM: Brachialis muscle |
median nerve were connected by a communication between them. Le Minor JM, has classified the variations into five types, type I with separate median and MCn nerve, type II where fibers of medial root continue with MCn and join MN at the middle of arm, type III where fibers of lateral root continue with MCn and in the middle of arm leave it and join with MN, type IV where MCn and MN continue as single nerve and in the middle of arm MCn separates and supplies the flexor muscles of arm, type V where branches of MCn directly arises from the MN [12].

According to this classification, the two right upper limbs correspond to type V but not completely as branch to CBM is separate and arising from lateral root. In case of left upper limb, it is almost similar to type I, with two separate branches from lateral root of MN with one thin branch from lateral root supplying CBM. Another branch, arising below it from the lateral cord, giving muscular branches to the remaining flexor muscles of arm and continuing as lateral cutaneous nerve of forearm. This study has some limitation with small sample size, but the results add to the incidence of variations in the south Indian population.

The formation of upper limb bud starts at 26-27 days whereas, the brachial plexus becomes evident at 34-35 days with the growth cones of motor axons entering the limb bud. The forelimb muscles are formed during the fifth week and attains adult pattern within 46-48 days [13]. Brachial plexus help in distribution from several spinal segments and single spinal segment can supply through several peripheral nerves [14]. The development of forelimb muscles is by expression of five Hoxd genes from the mesenchyme of the paraxial mesoderm [15]. The growth cones of the axons are guided by the highly strict specific expression and fission by the chemotaxants and chemorepulsants. The chemotaxants like neurotropic growth factor, c-kit ligand, Neuritin-1, neurotin-2 etc., support and attract the growth cones of the axons [16]. Any variation in the division or distribution of the nerves results due to abnormal signaling between mesenchymal cells and the growth cones of the developing axons during the time of fission.

Clinical implications associated with this variation are if there is any lesion or injury affecting the roots C5, C6 and C7, it results in paralysis/paresis of flexor muscles of arm and continuing as lateral cutaneous nerve of forearm. Any lesion affecting both MN and MCn will affect all flexors of arm and forearm. In the present study, as MCn was absent in two cases and CBM alone was supplied by individual branch from lateral cord, any injury to MN alone will cause paralysis of all flexors of arm and forearm except CBM. So, a thorough knowledge of the variation in the innervation of muscles of the arm is essential for the surgeons and clinicians.

Limitation(s)

Limitations of the present study was small sample size, so further studies need to be done with larger sample size to estimate the incidence of variations in different race and ethnic groups, with radiological correlation of ultrasound guided identification of variation.

CONCLUSION(S)

Variation in 6% of cases, with absence of MCn nerve in two limbs and innervation of all flexors of arm by MN except CBM was observed. In another arm, MCn was absent and two separate branches from lateral root were supplying the flexors of arm. Knowledge about nerve variations helps in correlating and in preventing any iatrogenic injuries during shoulder dislocations, traumatic injuries or any shoulder reconstruction surgeries. Also, knowledge of these variations helps to explain unexplained clinical symptoms during regional anesthesia and nerve conduction studies.
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